ADDENDUM #2
Issued May 12, 2017

RFP # 17-51
Consultant for Stormwater Utility
OPENING: 3:00 PM, May 24, 2017

The following items take precedence over referenced portions of the documents for the above-named project and in executing a contract, will become a part thereof.
Where any item in the documents is supplemented hereby, the original requirements will remain in effect. All supplemental conditions will be considered as added thereto.
Where any original item is amended, voided or superseded hereby, the provisions of such items not so specifically amended, voided or superseded will remain in effect.

This Addendum #1 must be signed and attached to proposal to acknowledge receipt of Addendum. Failure to acknowledge any addenda will result in a non-responsive bid.

_________________________________________  _____________________________________
Company’s Name                                      Date

_____________________________________________  ___________________________________
Authorized Representative’s Name                   Authorized Representative’s Signature
RFP 17-51
Consultant for Stormwater Utility
Questions and Answers – Addendum #2

1. **Question:** The advertised project appears to be the overhaul of an existing stormwater utility. Who did assist Henry County with the original utility set up?
   **Answer:** ARCADIS assisted with the original establishment of the stormwater management utility in 2006. Ecological Solutions provided consultation on the most recent updates to the utility in 2012.

2. **Question:** RFP indicates the important of local presence participation. Yet, RFP evaluation criteria does not list any points to be given for local presence. Could you please clarify? Also, does the County encourage the utilization of local businesses as subs for those potential consultants that don’t have an office in Henry County.
   **Answer:** An understanding of Georgia property laws and experience establishing watershed management plans in the Metro Atlanta area is a preference; however contractors with the required expertise in other areas of the country will not be penalized.

3. **Question:** In ref to item #10, page 16 of RFP; our team has extensive experience in the services requested by the RFP #17-51, and we would be able to provide both project descriptions and client references. However, we didn’t perform any project in the past 24 months for Henry County (did in the past, though). How important the requested timeframe of 24 month is?
   **Answer:** Any project experience a consultant has had with Henry County directly relating to watershed management or the stormwater utility, over any timeframe, can be provided. Any other consultant/engineering work completed for Henry County beyond 24 months is not required.

4. **Question:** Preproposal meeting doesn’t seem to be planned and scheduled. Please confirm.
   **Answer:** There is no plan for Henry County to conduct any preproposal meetings with prospective consultants at this time.